arXiv:0708.2510v2 [math.SP] 2 Oct 2007

Abstract kinetic equations with positive collision operators

I.M. Karabash
(the University of Calgary, Canada)

Abstract

We consider "forward-backward" parabolic equations in the abstract form $Jd\psi/dx+L\psi=0$, $0<x<\tau\leq\infty$, where J and L are operators in a Hilbert space H such that $J=J^*=J^{-1}$, $L=L^*\geq 0$, and $\ker L=0$. The following theorem is proved: if the operator $B=JL$ is similar to a self-adjoint operator, then associated half-range boundary problems have unique solutions. We apply this theorem to corresponding nonhomogeneous equations, to the time-independent Fokker-Plank equation $\mu\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x}(x,\mu)=b(\mu)\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\mu^2}(x,\mu)$, $0<x<\tau$, $\mu\in\mathbb{R}$, as well as to other parabolic equations of the "forward-backward" type. The abstract kinetic equation $Td\psi/dx=-A\psi(x)+f(x)$, where $T=T^*$ is injective and A satisfies a certain positivity assumption, is considered also.

MSC-class: 47N55, 35K70, 47B50 (Primary) 35M10, 35K90 (Secondary)

Keywords: forward-backward parabolic equations, kinetic equations, transport equations, equations of mixed type, J-self-adjoint operator, similarity, regular and singular critical points

1 Introduction

Consider the equation

$$w(\mu)\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x}(x,\mu)=\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\mu^2}(x,\mu)-q(\mu)\psi(x,\mu)\qquad(0<x<\tau<\infty,\ \mu\in\mathbb{R}),\tag{1.1}$$

and the associated boundary value problem

$$\psi(0,\mu)=\varphi_+(\mu)\qquad\text{if}\quad\mu>0,\qquad\psi(\tau,\mu)=\varphi_-(\mu)\qquad\text{if}\quad\mu<0.\tag{1.2}$$

Here w and q are locally summable on $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mu w(\mu)>0$, $\mu\in\mathbb{R}$. So the weight function w changes its sign at 0. We assume also that the Sturm-Liouville operator

$$L:y\mapsto\frac{1}{|w|}(-y''+qy)\tag{1.3}$$

defined on the maximal domain in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R},|w(x)|dx)$ is self-adjoint. Boundary value problems of this (forward-backward) type arise as various kinetic equations (e.g., [6, 21, 18, 52]; for other applications see [39, 53, 51] and references).

In this paper we will consider the the following abstract version of equation (1.1):

$$\frac{d\psi}{dx} = -JL\psi(x) \qquad (0 < x < \tau < \infty). \tag{1.4}$$

Here L and J are operators in an abstract Hilbert space H such that L is a self-adjoint (bounded or unbounded) operator and J is a *signature operator* in H, that is $J = J^* = J^{-1}$.

By $P_\pm$ we denote the orthogonal projections onto $H_\pm := \ker(J \mp I)$. Clearly,

$$H = H_+ \oplus H_- \quad \text{and} \quad J = P_+ \oplus P_-.$$

The aim is to find *strong solutions* of the associated boundary problem, i.e., to find continuous functions $\psi : [0,\tau] \to H$ ($\psi \in C([0,\tau]; H)$) which is strongly continuously differentiable on $(0,\tau)$ ($\psi \in C^1((0,\tau); H)$) and satisfies Eq. (1.4) with the following boundary conditions

$$P_+\psi(0) = \varphi_+, \qquad P_-\psi(\tau) = \varphi_-, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\varphi_+ \in H_+$ and $\varphi_- \in H_-$ are given vectors. If we define L by (1.3) and put

$$H = L^2(\mathbb{R}, |w(\mu)|d\mu), \qquad (Jf)(\mu) := (\operatorname{sgn}\mu)f(\mu), \tag{1.6}$$

we get problem (1.1)-(1.2).

For the case when L is nonnegative and has discrete spectrum, problem (1.4)–(1.5) has been described in great detail (see [3, 45, 6, 49, 5, 18, 32, 50] and references therein). For methods used in these papers, the assumption $\sigma(L) = \sigma_{disc}(L)$ or the weaker assumption $\inf \sigma_{ess}(L) > 0$ is essential. Generally, the latter assumption is not fulfilled for Eq. (1.1). The simplest example is the equation

$$(\operatorname{sgn}\mu)|\mu|^\alpha \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x}(x,\mu) = \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\mu^2}(x,\mu) \qquad (0 < x < \tau \leq \infty,\ \mu \in \mathbb{R}), \quad \alpha > -1, \tag{1.7}$$

which arises in kinetic theory and in the theory of stochastic processes (see [44, 18, 52] and references in [43]). Indeed, for this equation the operator $L = -|\mu|^{-\alpha}\frac{d^2}{d\mu^2}$ is self-adjoint in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |\mu|^\alpha d\mu)$ and $\sigma(L) = \sigma_{ess}(L) = [0, +\infty)$.

In the case $\tau < \infty$, problem (1.7), (1.2) was studied in [53] with $\alpha = 0$. In the case $\tau = \infty$ (the half-space problem), one has a boundary condition of the type (1.2) at $x = 0$ and, in addition, a growth condition on $\psi(x,\mu)$ for large x. The half-space problem for Eq. (1.7) was considered in [43, 44, 17] in connection with stationary equations of Brownian motion. Note that the methods of [43, 44, 17, 53] use the special form of the weight w and corresponding integral transforms. The results achieved in [44] for the sample case $\alpha = 1$ was used in [45], where a wider class of problems was considered under the hypotheses that

$$\text{the weight} \quad w \quad \text{is bounded} \tag{1.8}$$

and $w(\mu) = \mu + o(\mu)$ as $\mu \to 0$. However, all these results were obtained under additional assumptions on the boundary data. In particular, it was supposed that $\varphi_\pm$ are continuous.

The case when L may be unbounded and may have a continuous spectrum was considered in [16, Section 4], where the half-space problem is studied (in an abstract setting) under the assumptions (1.8) and $L > \delta > 0$. This assumption was changed to $L > 0$ in [7]. However, it is difficult to apply the results of [7] to equation (1.7) since an additional assumption on the boundary values $\phi_\pm$ appears. This assumption is close to assumption of [43, 44, 45]. The method of [16, 7] is based on the spectral theory of self-adjoint operators in Krein spaces.

The aim of this paper is to modify the Krein space approach of [16, 7] and to prove that problem (1.4)-(1.5) has a unique solution for arbitrary $\varphi_\pm \in H_\pm$. In particular, it will be shown that the problem (1.7), (1.2) has a unique solution for arbitrary $\varphi_\pm \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_\pm, |\mu|^\alpha d\mu)$. More general equations of the Fokker-Plank type will be considered also.

Recall that two closed operators T_1 and T_2 in a Hilbert space H are called similar if there exist a bounded and boundedly invertible operator S in H such that $S\,\mathrm{dom}(T_1) = \mathrm{dom}(T_2)$ and $T_2 = ST_1S^{-1}$.

The central result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that the operator L is self-adjoint and positive (i.e., $L \geq 0$ and $\ker L = 0$). Assume that the operator JL is similar to a self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space H. Then for each pair $\{\varphi_+, \varphi_-\}$, $\varphi_\pm \in H_\pm$, there is a unique strong solution ψ of problem* (1.4)-(1.5). *This solution is given by* (2.18).

The proof is given in Subsection 2.2. The half-space problem ($\tau = \infty$) is considered in Subsection 2.3. In Section 3 we consider correctness and nonhomogeneous equations.

The formal similarity between Eqs. (1.1)-(1.2) and certain problems of neutron transport, radiative transfer, and rarefied gas dynamics has given rise to the emergence of abstract kinetic equation

$$T\frac{d\psi}{dx} = -A\psi(x) \qquad (0 < x < \tau \leq \infty); \tag{1.9}$$

see [19, 4, 40, 21, 18, 16] and references therein. When Eq. (1.9) is considered in a Hilbert space H, the operator T is self-adjoint and injective. The operator A is called *a collision* operator, usually it satisfies certain positivity assumptions (see e.g. [18]). For unbounded collision operators, equation (1.9) is usually considered in the space $\mathcal{H}_T$ that is a completion of $\mathrm{dom}(T)$ with respect to (w.r.t.) the scalar product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_T := (|T|\cdot,\cdot)_H$. The interplay of $\mathrm{dom}(T)$ and $\mathrm{dom}(A)$ may be various. This leads to additional assumptions on the operators T and A. It is assumed in [16, Section 4] that T is bounded and $A > \delta > 0$; in [7], $\mathrm{ran}(T) \subset \mathrm{ran}(A)$. Note that equation (1.7) can not be included in these settings.

The second goal of the present paper is to remove the assumptions mentioned above. We will show that the following condition is natural for the case when A is unbounded: *the operator A is a positive self-adjoint operator from $\mathcal{H}_T$ to the space $\mathcal{H}'_T$* that is a completion of $\mathrm{dom}(T^{-1})$ w.r.t. the scalar product $(|T|^{-1}\cdot,\cdot)_H$, see Section 4 for details. It is weaker than the assumptions mentioned above. On the other hand, it characterizes the case when equation (1.9) may be reduced to equation (1.4).

Theorem 1.1 leads to the similarity problem for J-positive differential operators. In Section 5, we use recent results concerning the similarity [8, 15, 29, 34, 30, 35, 36, 28] (see also [23, 24, 12] and references in [30, 28]) to prove uniqueness and existence theorems for various equations of the type (1.1).

Note also that abstract kinetic equations with nonsymmetric collision operators may be found in [21, 18, 16, 7, 41, 51]. From other point of view, equation (1.1) belongs to the class of second order equations with nonnegative characteristic form. Boundary problems for this class of equations were considered by various authors (see [33, 42] and references). But some restrictions imposed in this theory makes it inapplicable to Eq. (1.1) (see a discussion in [45]). The case when w is dependent of μ or the operator L is dependent of x was considered, e.g., in [1, 48].

The main results of this paper were announced in the short communications [26, 25].

Notation. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a linear operator from a Banach space H_1 to a Banach space H_2. In what follows, $\operatorname{dom}(\mathcal{A})$, $\ker \mathcal{A}$, $\operatorname{ran} \mathcal{A}$, $\|\mathcal{A}\|_{H_1 \to H_2}$ are the domain, kernel, range, and norm of $\mathcal{A}$, respectively. If M is a subset of H_1, then $\mathcal{A}M := \{\mathcal{A}h : h \in M\}$. In the case $H_1 = H_2$, $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ and $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ denote the spectrum and the resolvent set of $\mathcal{A}$, respectively. As usual, $\sigma_{\text{disc}}(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the discrete spectrum of $\mathcal{A}$, that is, the set of isolated eigenvalues of finite algebraic multiplicity; the essential spectrum is $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(\mathcal{A}) := \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \setminus \sigma_{\text{disc}}(\mathcal{A})$. By $E^{\mathcal{A}}(\cdot)$ we denote the spectral function of a self-adjoint (or J-self-adjoint) operator $\mathcal{A}$. We write $f \in AC_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ if the function f is absolutely continuous on each bounded interval in $\mathbb{R}$. Put $\mathbb{R}_+ := (0, +\infty)$, $\mathbb{R}_- := (-\infty, 0)$, and $\overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \infty$.

2 Existence and uniqueness of solutions

2.1 Preliminaries

In this section basic facts from the theory of operators in Krein spaces are collected. The reader can find more details in [2, 38].

Consider a complex Hilbert space H with a scalar product $(\cdot,\cdot)_H$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_H := (\cdot,\cdot)^{1/2}$. An operator $\mathcal{A}$ in H is called *positive (negative)* if $(\mathcal{A}h, h)_H > 0$ (resp., < 0) for all $h \in \operatorname{dom}(\mathcal{A}) \setminus \{0\}$. We write $\mathcal{A} > 0$ if $\mathcal{A}$ is positive.

Suppose that $H = H_+ \oplus H_-$, where H_+ and H_- are (closed) subspaces of H. Denote by $P_\pm$ the orthogonal projections from H onto $H_\pm$. Let $J = P_+ - P_-$ and $[\cdot,\cdot] := (J\cdot,\cdot)_H$. Then the pair $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{H}, [\cdot,\cdot])$ is called a *Krein space* (see [2, 38] for the original definition). The form $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is called *an inner product* in the Krein space $\mathcal{K}$ and the operator J is called *a fundamental symmetry* (or *a signature operator*) in the Krein space $\mathcal{K}$. Evidently, $(\mathcal{H}, [\cdot,\cdot])$ is a Hilbert space if and only if $H_- = 0$.

A subspace $H_1 \subset H$ is called *non-negative (non-positive)* if $[h, h] \geq 0$ (≤ 0, resp.) for all $h \in H_1$. A non-negative (non-positive) subspace H_1 is *uniformly positive (uniformly negative, resp.)* if there is a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that $\alpha\|h\|_H \leq [h, h]$ ($\leq -[h, h]$, resp.) for $h \in H_1$. A non-negative (non-positive) subspace H_1 is called maximal non-negative (non-positive) if for any non-negative (non-positive) subspace $H_2 \supset H_1$ we have $H_2 = H_1$. Subspaces H_1 and H_2 are called J-orthogonal if $[h_1, h_2] = 0$ for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$, $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$. We write $H_1 = H_2[\dot{+}]H_3$ if H_1 admits decomposition into the direct sum of two J-orthogonal subspaces H_2 and H_3.

Suppose that subspaces $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ possess the properties

(i) $\mathcal{H}_+$ is non-negative, $\mathcal{H}_-$ is non-positive,

(ii) $(\mathcal{H}_+, [\cdot,\cdot])$ and $(\mathcal{H}_-, -[\cdot,\cdot])$ are Hilbert spaces;

and suppose that $H = \mathcal{H}_+[\dot{+}]\mathcal{H}_-$; then this decomposition is called a *canonical decomposition* of the Krein space $\mathcal{K}$.

Evidently, $H = H_+ \oplus H_-$ is a canonical decomposition. Note that there exist other canonical decompositions (see Proposition 2.2).

Let $H = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$ be a canonical decomposition. Then the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_\pm} := \sqrt{\pm[\cdot,\cdot]}$ in the Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}_\pm, \pm[\cdot,\cdot])$ is called *an intrinsic norm*. It is easy to prove (see [2, Theorem I.7.19]) that the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_\pm}$ and $\|\cdot\|_H$ are equivalent on $\mathcal{H}_\pm$; moreover,

$$\gamma\|h_\pm\|_H \le \|h_\pm\|_{\mathcal{H}_\pm} \le \|h_\pm\|_H, \quad h_\pm \in \mathcal{H}_\pm, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\gamma \in (0,1]$ is a constant.

Statement (i) of the following proposition is due to Ginzburg (see [2, Theorems I.4.1 and I.4.5]), Statement (ii) is due to Phillips and Ginzburg (see [2, Lemma I.8.1]).

Proposition 2.1 (e.g. [2])**.** *Let $H = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$ be a canonical decomposition and let $\mathcal{P}_+$ and $\mathcal{P}_-$ be corresponding mutually complementary projections on $\mathcal{H}_+$ and $\mathcal{H}_-$, respectively.*

(i) *If H_1 is a maximal non-negative subspace in H, then the restriction $\mathcal{P}_+ \upharpoonright H_1 : H_1 \to \mathcal{H}_+$ is a homeomorphism, that is, it is bijective, continuous, and the inverse mapping $(\mathcal{P}_+ \upharpoonright H_1)^{-1} : \mathcal{H}_+ \to H_1$ is also continuous.*

(ii) *If H_1 is a uniformly positive subspace in H, then there is a constant $\beta \in (0,1)$ such that $|[\mathcal{P}_-h, \mathcal{P}_-h]| \le \beta[\mathcal{P}_+h, \mathcal{P}_+h]$.*

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a densely defined operator in H. The *J-adjoint operator of* $\mathcal{A}$ is defined by the relation

$$[\mathcal{A}f, g] = [f, \mathcal{A}^{[*]}g]\ , \qquad f \in \mathrm{dom}(\mathcal{A}),$$

on the set of all $g \in H$ such that the mapping $f \mapsto [\mathcal{A}f, g]$ is a continuous linear functional on $\mathrm{dom}(\mathcal{A})$. The operator $\mathcal{A}$ is called *J-self-adjoint* if $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{[*]}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}^{[*]} := J\mathcal{A}^*J$ and the operator $\mathcal{A}$ is J-self-adjoint if and only if $J\mathcal{A}$ is self-adjoint. Note that $J = J^* = J^{-1} = J^{[*]}$. A closed operator $\mathcal{A}$ is called *J-positive* if $[\mathcal{A}f, f] > 0$ for $f \in \mathrm{dom}(\mathcal{A}) \setminus \{0\}$ (it is equivalent to $J\mathcal{A} > 0$).

Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be the semiring consisting of all bounded intervals with endpoints different from 0 and their complements in $\overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \infty$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a J-positive J-self-adjoint operator in H with a nonempty resolvent set, $\rho(\mathcal{A}) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ admits a spectral function $E(\Delta)$. Namely,

(i) the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}$ is real, $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathbb{R}$;

(ii) there exist a mapping $\Delta \to E(\Delta)$ from $\mathfrak{S}$ into the set of bounded linear operators in H with the following properties ($\Delta, \Delta' \in \mathfrak{S}$):

- **(E1)** $E(\Delta \cap \Delta') = E(\Delta)E(\Delta'), \quad E(\emptyset) = 0, \quad E(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) = I, \quad E(\Delta) = E(\Delta)^{[*]}$;
- **(E2)** $E(\Delta \cup \Delta') = E(\Delta) + E(\Delta')$ if $\Delta \cap \Delta' = \emptyset$;
- **(E3)** the form $\pm[\cdot,\cdot]$ is positive definite on $E(\Delta)H$, if $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}_\pm$;
- **(E4)** $E(\Delta)$ is in the double commutant of the resolvent $R_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda) = (\mathcal{A} - \lambda)^{-1}$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{A} \upharpoonright E(\Delta)H) \subset \overline{\Delta}$;
- **(E5)** if Δ is bounded, then $E(\Delta)H \subset \mathrm{dom}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{A} \upharpoonright E(\Delta)H$ is a bounded operator.

According to [38, Proposition II.4.2], a number $s \in \{0, \infty\}$ is called *a critical point* of $\mathcal{A}$, if for each $\Delta \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that $s \in \Delta$, the form $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is indefinite on $E(\Delta)\mathfrak{H}$ (i.e., there exist $h_\pm \in E(\Delta)\mathfrak{H}$ such that $[h_+, h_+] < 0$ and $[h_-, h_-] > 0$). The set of critical points is denoted by $c(\mathcal{A})$.

If $\alpha \notin c(\mathcal{A})$, then for arbitrary $\lambda_0, \lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus c(\mathcal{A})$, $\lambda_0 < \alpha$, $\lambda_1 > \alpha$, the limits

$$\lim_{\lambda \uparrow \alpha} E([\lambda_0, \lambda]), \qquad \lim_{\lambda \downarrow \alpha} E([\lambda, \lambda_1])$$

exist in the strong operator topology. If $\alpha \in c(\mathcal{A})$ and the above limits do still exist, then the critical point α is called *regular*, otherwise it is called *singular*. Here we agree that, if $\alpha = \infty$, then $\lambda > \alpha$, $\lambda < \alpha$, $\lambda \downarrow \alpha$, and $\lambda \uparrow \alpha$ mean $\lambda > -\infty$, $\lambda < +\infty$, $\lambda \downarrow -\infty$, and $\lambda \uparrow +\infty$, respectively.

The mapping $\Delta \to E(\Delta)$ can be extended to the semiring generated by those intervals whose endpoints are not singular critical points of $\mathcal{A}$. For this extension Properties (E1)-(E5) are preserved.

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let L be a positive operator in a Hilbert space H and let J be a signature operator in H. Put

$$B := JL.$$

Then B is a J-self-adjoint and J-positive operator in the Krein space $\mathcal{K} := (H, [\cdot, \cdot])$, where $[\cdot, \cdot] := (J\cdot, \cdot)_H$. The following proposition is well known.

Proposition 2.2. *Let B be a J-positive J-self-adjoint operator in H such that $\rho(B) \neq \emptyset$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *B is similar to a self-adjoint operator.*

(ii) *0 and ∞ are not singular critical points of B.*

(iii) *There exist a fundamental decomposition $H = H_+^B [\dot{+}] H_-^B$ of the Krein space $\mathcal{K}$ such that $B = B^+ \dot{+} B^-$, where $B^\pm := B \upharpoonright \operatorname{dom}(B) \cap H_\pm^B$, and $\sigma(B^\pm) = \overline{\sigma(B) \cap \mathbb{R}_\pm}$.*

If these assertions hold and P_+^B and P_-^B are corresponding mutually complementary projections on H_+^B and H_-^B, respectively, then $P_\pm^B = E^B(\mathbb{R}_\pm)$, where $E^B(\cdot)$ is a spectral function of B defined in Subsection 2.1.

Assume that

$$B \quad \text{is similar to a self-adjoint operator in } H. \tag{2.2}$$

Then $\rho(B) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and assertions (i)-(iii) of Proposition 2.2 hold true. Note that Property (E3) implies that the form $\pm[\cdot, \cdot]$ is non-negative on $H_\pm^B$. Evidently,

$$H_+^B \quad (H_-^B) \quad \text{is a maximal non-negative (non-positive) subspace in} \quad H. \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover, it follows from (2.1) that H_+^B (H_-^B) is uniformly positive (resp., negative). In the sequel, we consider $H_\pm^B$ as Hilbert spaces with the inner products $\pm[\cdot, \cdot]$ and the (intrinsic) norms $\|\cdot\|_{H_\pm^B} := \sqrt{\pm[h, h]}$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let B be similar to a self-adjoint operator. Then the operator B^+ (B^-) defined in Proposition 2.2 is a positive (negative, resp.) self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space H_+^B (resp., H_-^B).*

Proof. Positivity of B^+ follows immediately from J-positivity of B. B^+ is symmetric since it is positive. It follows from (2.2) that the spectrum of B is real, $\sigma(B) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $\sigma(B^+) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and therefore B^+ is self-adjoint in H_+^B. The same arguments hold for B^-. □

Now we write equation (1.4) in the form

$$\frac{d\psi}{dx} = -B\psi(x) \qquad (0 < x < \tau < \infty), \tag{2.4}$$

and suppose that ψ is a solution of (2.4), (1.5).

We put $\psi_\pm(x) := P_\pm^B \psi(x)$ and $E_t^B := E^B((-\infty, t])$. Note that, by Proposition 2.2, E_t^B is well-defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $E^B(\cdot) \restriction H_\pm^B$ is the spectral function of the self-adjoint operator $B^\pm$. Eq. (2.4) implies that for $x \in [0, \tau]$,

$$\psi_+(x) = \int_{+0}^{+\infty} e^{-xt}\, dE_t^B\ \psi_+(0) = e^{-xB^+}\psi_+(0), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\psi_-(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{-0} e^{(\tau-x)t}\, dE_t^B\ \psi_-(\tau) = e^{(\tau-x)B^-}\psi_-(\tau). \tag{2.6}$$

The integrals converge in the norm topologies of $H_\pm^B$ as well as in the norm topology of H. Recall that, by (2.1), these topologies are equivalent.

It follows immediately from Proposition 2.3 that for all $h \in H_\pm^B$,

$$\|e^{\mp xB^\pm} h\|_{H_\pm^B} \le \|h\|_{H_\pm^B} \qquad \text{if} \quad x \ge 0. \tag{2.7}$$

So for each pair $\widetilde{\psi}_+ \in H_+^B$, $\widetilde{\psi}_- \in H_-^B$, there is a unique solution of (2.4) such that $\psi_+(0) = \widetilde{\psi}_+$, $\psi_-(\tau) = \widetilde{\psi}_-$.

The boundary conditions (1.5) becomes

$$P_+[\psi_+(0) + e^{\tau B^-}\psi_-(\tau)] = \varphi_+, \quad P_-[\psi_-(\tau) + e^{-\tau B^+}\psi_+(0)] = \varphi_-. \tag{2.8}$$

It follows from (2.3) and Proposition 2.1 (i) that there exist operators

$$R_\pm := (P_\pm \restriction H_\pm^B)^{-1} \ :\ H_\pm \to H_\pm^B\,; \qquad \text{moreover,} \quad R_\pm \quad \text{are homeomorphisms.} \tag{2.9}$$

Let us introduce operators $G_\pm : H_\pm^B \to H_\mp^B$ by

$$G_+ h_+ := R_- P_- e^{-\tau B^+} h_+, \quad G_- h_- := R_+ P_+ e^{\tau B^-} h_-, \quad h_\pm \in H_\pm^B.$$

Using the operators $R_\pm$ and $G_\pm$, we write (2.8) in the form

$$\psi_+(0) + G_-\psi_-(\tau) = R_+\varphi_+, \quad \psi_-(\tau) + G_+\psi_+(0) = R_-\varphi_-. \tag{2.10}$$

Combining these equations, one gets

$$(I - G_-G_+)\psi_+(0) = R_+\varphi_+ - G_-R_-\varphi_-, \qquad (I - G_+G_-)\psi_-(\tau) = R_-\varphi_- - G_+R_+\varphi_+. \tag{2.11}$$

Lemma 2.4. $\|G^+\|_{H_+^B \to H_-^B} < 1$ *and* $\|G^-\|_{H_-^B \to H_+^B} < 1$.

Proof. Let us prove that for $h_\pm \in H^B_\pm$,

$$\|R_\mp P_\mp h_\pm\|_{H^B_\mp} \le \beta_\pm \|h_\pm\|_{H^B_\pm} \qquad \text{with certain constants} \quad \beta_\pm < 1. \tag{2.12}$$

Indeed, since $R_- P_- h_+ \in H^B_-$, we have $h_+ = P^B_+(h_+ - R_- P_- h_+)$ and therefore

$$\|h_+\|_{H^B_+} = \|P^B_+(h_+ - R_- P_- h_+)\|_{H^B_+}. \tag{2.13}$$

Note that $H = H^B_+[\dot{+}]H^B_-$ is a fundamental decomposition of the Krein space $\mathcal{K}$ and H_+ is a uniformly positive subspace in $\mathcal{K}$. Hence Proposition 2.1 (ii) implies

$$\beta_+ \|P^B_+ g_+\|_{H^B_+} \ge \|P^B_- g_+\|_{H^B_-} \qquad \text{for all} \quad g_+ \in H_+ \tag{2.14}$$

with a certain $\beta_+ < 1$. Further, $P_-(h_+ - R_- P_- h_+) = 0$, that is, $(h_+ - R_- P_- h_+) \in H_+$. Therefore (2.14) yields

$$\beta_+ \|P^B_+(h_+ - R_- P_- h_+)\|_{H^B_+} \ge \|P^B_-(h_+ - R_- P_- h_+)\|_{H^B_-} = \|R_- P_- h_+\|_{H^B_-}.$$

From this and (2.13), we get (2.12) for $h_+ \in H^B_+$. The proof of (2.12) for $h_- \in H^B_-$ is the same. To conclude the proof, it remains to combine (2.12) and (2.7). ☐

Lemma 2.4 implies that

$$I - G_\mp G_\pm \qquad \text{are linear homeomorphisms in} \quad H^B_\pm. \tag{2.15}$$

Let us consider Eqs. (2.8) as a system for the unknowns $\psi_+(0)$ and $\psi_-(\tau)$.

Lemma 2.5 ([7])**.** *System* (2.8) *has a unique solution*

$$\psi_+(0) = (I - G_- G_+)^{-1}(R_+\varphi_+ - G_- R_- \varphi_-), \tag{2.16}$$
$$\psi_-(\tau) = (I - G_+ G_-)^{-1}(R_-\varphi_- - G_+ R_+ \varphi_+). \tag{2.17}$$

Remark 2.6. *Lemma 2.5 was obtained in other form in [7] (see Lemma 2.2 and the end of the proof of Theorem 3.4 there). Earlier, it was proved under the additional condition $\sigma(B) = \sigma_{\mathrm{disc}}(B)$ in [6], see also [49, 18]. We give another proof, which is based on Lemma 2.4 and is an improvement of treatments from [49].*

Proof. First note that system (2.8) is equivalent to system (2.10). Further, (2.10) implies (2.11). On the other hand, (2.11) is equivalent to (2.16)-(2.17) due to (2.15). Thus we should only prove that (2.11) implies (2.10).

Let us write Eqs. (2.11) as

$$R_+\varphi_+ = (I - G_- G_+)\psi_+(0) + G_- R_- \varphi_-, \qquad R_-\varphi_- = (I - G_+ G_-)\psi_-(\tau) + G_+ R_+ \varphi_+.$$

Combining these two equalities, we get

$$(I - G_- G_+) R_+ \varphi_+ = (I - G_- G_+)\psi_+(0) + G_-(I - G_+ G_-)\psi_-(\tau),$$
$$(I - G_+ G_-) R_- \varphi_- = (I - G_+ G_-)\psi_-(\tau) + G_+(I - G_- G_+)\psi_+(0).$$

Note that $G_\pm(I - G_\mp G_\pm) = (I - G_\pm G_\mp)G_\pm$ and therefore, using (2.15), one obtains (2.10). ☐

This lemma shows that the function

$$\psi(x) = e^{-tB^+}(I - G_-G_+)^{-1}(R_+\varphi_+ - G_-R_-\varphi_-) + e^{(\tau-t)B_-}(I - G_+G_-)^{-1}(R_-\varphi_- - G_+R_+\varphi_+) \tag{2.18}$$

is a unique solution of problem (1.4)-(1.5).

One can check that the functions $\psi_\pm$ are continuous on $[0,\tau]$, the strong derivatives $d\psi_\pm/dx$ exist and are (strongly) continuous on $(0,\tau)$ (see Subsection 3). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2.3 Half-space problems

Under the same assumptions, let us consider the equation

$$\frac{d\psi}{dx} = -JL\psi(x) \qquad (0 < x < \infty), \tag{2.19}$$

on the infinite interval $(0,+\infty)$. The boundary conditions

$$P_+\psi(0) = \varphi_+, \tag{2.20}$$

$$\|\psi(x)\|_H = O(1) \qquad (x \to +\infty) \tag{2.21}$$

corresponds to this feature of the problem (see e.g. [18]). As above, $\varphi_+ \in H_+$ is a given vector.

Theorem 2.7. *Assume that* $L = L^* > 0$ *and that the operator* $B := JL$ *is similar to a self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space* H. *Then for each* $\varphi_+ \in H_+$ *there is a unique solution* ψ *of* (2.19)-(2.20)-(2.21). *This solution is given by*

$$\psi(x) = \int_{+0}^{+\infty} e^{-xt}\, dE_t^B R_+\varphi_+ \quad (= e^{-xB^+}R_+\varphi_+), \tag{2.22}$$

where E_t^B, R_+, *and* B^+ *are the operators defined in Subsection 2.2.*

The proof is simpler than the proof of Theorem 1.1. It is similar to the treatments from [16, Section 4], where equation (1.9) with bounded T and uniformly positive A was considered. We give a sketch here.

Proof. Let ψ be a solution of problem (2.19)-(2.21) and $\psi_\pm(\cdot) := P_\pm^B\psi(\cdot)$. It follows from (2.6) that for all $x \in (0,+\infty)$,

$$\psi_-(0) \in \operatorname{ran}(e^{xB^-}) = \operatorname{dom}(e^{-xB^-}) \qquad \text{and} \quad \psi_-(x) = e^{-xB^-}\psi_-(0).$$

Since $B^- < 0$, we see that

$$\lim_{x\to+\infty} \|\psi_-(x)\|_{H_-^B} = \infty \qquad \text{whenever} \quad \psi_-(0) \neq 0.$$

Taking into account (2.1) and (2.21), we get $\psi_-(0) = \psi_-(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (0,\infty)$. Hence, $\psi(x) = \psi_+(x)$, $x \geq 0$, and therefore (2.20) yields $P_+\psi_+(0) = \varphi_+$. Combining this with (2.20) and (2.9), one can see that $\psi(x) = \psi_+(x) = e^{-xB^+}R_+\varphi_+$ is an only function that satisfies (2.19) and (2.20). Finally, note that (2.21) follows from (2.7) and (2.1). □

Remark 2.8. *Clearly, Theorem 2.7 is valid with the condition* $\|\psi(x)\|_H = o(1)$ *as* $x \to +\infty$ *instead of* (2.21).

3 Correctness and nonhomogeneous problems

Let the assumptions of Subsection 2.2 be fulfilled.

Since B^+ is a positive self-adjoint operator in H_+^B, we see that $U_+(z) := e^{-zB^+}$ is a bounded holomorphic semigroup in the sector $|\arg z| < \pi/2$ (see e.g. [31, Subsection IX.1.6]). The same is true for the function $U_-(z) := e^{zB^-}$. In particular, this implies that for any $\widetilde{\psi}_\pm \in H_\pm^B$ problems

$$d\psi_+(x)/dx = -B^+\psi_+(x), \quad x > 0, \qquad \psi_+(0) = \widetilde{\psi}_+, \tag{3.1}$$

$$d\psi_-(x)/dx = -B^-\psi_-(x), \quad x < \tau, \qquad \psi_-(\tau) = \widetilde{\psi}_-, \tag{3.2}$$

have unique solutions $\psi_+(x) = U_+(x)\widetilde{\psi}_+$ and $\psi_-(x) = U_-(\tau - x)\widetilde{\psi}_-$, respectively. Besides, these solutions are infinitely differentiable on $(0, \tau)$ and problems (3.1), (3.2) are uniformly correct (see e.g. [37, Subsection I.2]). The letter means that the mappings $\widetilde{\psi}_\pm \to \psi_\pm(\cdot)$ from $H_\pm^B$ to $C([0, \tau]; H_\pm^B)$ are continuous.

Lemma 2.5 and (2.5)-(2.6) show that the solution ψ of problem (1.4)-(1.5) possesses the same properties:

(i) ψ are infinitely differentiable on $(0, \tau)$,

(ii) the mapping $\{\varphi_+, \varphi_-\} \to \psi(\cdot)$ from $H_+ \oplus H_-$ to $C([0, \tau]; H)$ is continuous.

One can obtain similar statements for the solution of problem (2.19)-(2.21).

Now consider the nonhomogeneous equation

$$\frac{d\psi}{dx} = -JL\psi(x) + f(x) \qquad (0 < x < \tau \leq \infty), \tag{3.3}$$

where f is an H-valued function.

We assume that f is Hölder continuous on all finite intervals $[0, x_1]$, i.e.,

$$\text{for each} \quad x_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad \text{there are numbers} \quad K = K(x_1) > 0, \quad k = k(x_1) \in (0, 1] \quad \text{such that}$$
$$\|f(x) - f(y)\|_H \leq K|x - y|^k \qquad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq x, y \leq x_1. \tag{3.4}$$

Evidently, the functions $f_\pm(x) := P_\pm^B f(x)$ possess the same property.

Let us start from the case $\tau < \infty$ and boundary conditions (1.5).

The fact that $U_\pm(z)$ are bounded holomorphic semigroups enables us to apply [31, Theorem IX.1.27]. This theorem yields that the functions

$$\psi_1^+(x) := \int_0^x U_+(x - y)f_+(y)dy \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_1^-(x) := -\int_x^\tau U_-(y - x)f_-(y)dy \tag{3.5}$$

are continuous for $x \in [0, \tau]$, continuously differentiable for $x \in (0, \tau)$ and $d\psi_1^\pm/dx = -B^\pm\psi_1^\pm + f_\pm$. By Theorem 1.1, there exist a unique solution ψ_0 of homogeneous equation (2.4) satisfying the boundary conditions

$$P_+\psi_0(0) = \varphi_+ - P_+\psi_1^-(0), \qquad P_-\psi_0(\tau) = \varphi_- - P_-\psi_1^+(\tau).$$

The representation of ψ_0 may be obtained from (2.18). Thus we prove the following statement.

Proposition 3.1. *Let assumptions* (2.2) *and* (3.4) *be fulfilled. Then problem* (3.3)*,* (1.5) *has a unique solution* ψ *given by* $\psi = \psi_1^+ + \psi_1^- + \psi_0$.

In the case $\tau = \infty$, we assume additionally that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \|f(x)\|_H dx < \infty. \tag{3.6}$$

Let us define $\psi_1^\pm(\cdot)$ by (3.5) (with $\tau = +\infty$). Assumption (3.6) and inequality (2.7) yield that $\psi_1^-(\cdot)$ is well-defined on $[0,\infty)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $\tau = \infty$*, let assumptions* (2.2)*,* (3.4)*, and* (3.6) *be fulfilled. Then problem* (3.3)*,* (2.20)*,* (2.21) *has a unique solution* ψ *given by* $\psi = \psi_1^+ + \psi_1^- + \psi_0$*, where*

$$\psi_0(x) = e^{-xB^+} R_+(\varphi_+ - P_+\psi_1^-(0)).$$

Proof. For $0 < x < X$, we write $\psi_1^-(x)$ in the form $v_1(x) + v_2(x)$, where

$$v_1(x) = -\int_x^X U_-(y-x)f_-(y)dy, \quad v_2(x) = -\int_X^{+\infty} U_-(y-x)f_-(y)dy.$$

Since $v_2(x) = -U_-(X-x)\int_X^{+\infty} U_-(y-X)f_-(y)dy$, we see that $dv_2(x)/dx = -B^-v_2(x)$ for $0 < x < X$. On the other hand, [31, Theorem IX.1.27] yields that v_1 is a solution of nonhomogeneous equation $d\psi^-(x)/dx = -B^-\psi^-(x) + f_-(x)$ for $0 < x < X$ and, therefore, so is ψ_1^-. The latter holds for all $x > 0$ since X is arbitrary. Thus $\psi_1^+ + \psi_1^-$ is a solution of nonhomogeneous equation (3.3).

It follows from (3.6) and (2.7) that $\|\psi_1^+(x)\| = O(1)$ and $\|\psi_1^-(x)\| = o(1)$ as $x \to \infty$. Using Theorem 2.7, one can conclude the proof. ☐

If $L \geq \lambda_0 > 0$, then condition (3.6) can be relaxed. One can change it to $\|f(x)\| = O(1)$ as $x \to \infty$ or to $\int_0^\infty e^{\lambda_0 x}\|f(x)\|dx < \infty$ (cf. [18, Section II]).

4 Abstract kinetic equations

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a complex Hilbert space with scalar product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Assume that T is a (bounded or unbounded) self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$ and that T is injective (i.e., $\ker T = 0$).

Let $Q_+ := E^T(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ($Q_- := E^T(\mathbb{R}_-)$) be the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{H}$ onto the maximal T-invariant subspace on which T is positive (negative). Then $|T| := (Q_+ - Q_-)T$ is a positive self-adjoint operator. Note that

$$Q_\pm T = TQ_\pm \qquad \text{and} \qquad Q_\pm T^{-1} = T^{-1}Q_\pm. \tag{4.1}$$

Following [4], let us introduce the scalar product $\langle h,g\rangle_T = \langle |T|h, g\rangle$ for $h, g \in \mathrm{dom}(T)$ with corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|_T$ and denote by $\mathcal{H}_T$ the completion of $\mathrm{dom}(T)$ with respect to (w.r.t.) this norm. Clearly, $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{H}_T = \mathrm{dom}(|T|^{1/2})$ and $\|h\|_T = \| \, |T|^{1/2}h \, \|_{\mathcal{H}}$ for $h \in \mathrm{dom}(|T|^{1/2})$.

Similarly, we may introduce another scalar product $\langle h,g\rangle_T' = \langle |T|^{-1}h, g\rangle_T$ on $\mathrm{dom}(T^{-1})$ with associated norm $\|\cdot\|_T'$ and consider the completion $\mathcal{H}_T'$ of $\mathrm{dom}(T^{-1})$ w.r.t. the norm $\|\cdot\|_T'$. As before, $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{H}_T' = \mathrm{dom}(|T|^{-1/2})$.

It is easy to see that for each $g \in \operatorname{ran}(|T|) = \operatorname{dom}(T^{-1})$, the linear functional $\langle \cdot, g\rangle$ is continuous on $\operatorname{dom}(T)$ w.r.t. the norm $\| \cdot \|_T$. Besides, its norm is equal to

$$\sup_{\substack{h\in\operatorname{dom}(T)\\ h\neq 0}} \frac{|\langle h, g\rangle|}{\|\, |T|^{1/2} h\,\|_{\mathcal{H}}} = \sup_{\substack{h'\in\operatorname{dom}(|T|^{1/2})\\ h'\neq 0}} = \frac{|\langle |T|^{-1/2} h', g\rangle|}{\|\, h'\,\|_{\mathcal{H}}} = \|\, |T|^{-1/2} g\,\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|g\|'_T.$$

So one can use the $\mathcal{H}$-scalar product as a pairing to identify $\mathcal{H}'_T$ with the dual $\mathcal{H}^*_T$ of $\mathcal{H}_T$. The operator $|T|$ ($|T|^{-1}$) has a natural isometric extension from $\mathcal{H}_T$ onto $\mathcal{H}'_T$ (from $\mathcal{H}'_T$ onto $\mathcal{H}_T$). We use the same notation for the extensions.

By (4.1), we may extend the orthogonal projection $Q_\pm$ onto $\mathcal{H}_T$ and $\mathcal{H}'_T$. Put $Th := (Q_+ - Q_-)|T|h$ for $h \in \mathcal{H}_T$ and $T^{-1}h := (Q_+ - Q_-)|T|^{-1}h$ for $h \in \mathcal{H}'_T$.

Now let A be a linear operator in $\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{H}_T + \mathcal{H}'_T$, let φ be a vector in $\mathcal{H}_T$, and let $f(\cdot)$ be a function with values in $\mathcal{H}'_T$. Consider an abstract kinetic equation

$$T\frac{d\psi}{dx} = -A\psi(x) + f(x) \qquad (0 < x < \tau \leq \infty), \tag{4.2}$$

supplemented by "half-range" boundary conditions in the form

$$Q_+\psi(0) = Q_+\varphi, \tag{4.3}$$

$$Q_-\psi(\tau) = Q_-\varphi \quad \text{if} \quad \tau < \infty, \qquad \text{or} \quad \|\psi(x)\|_{\mathcal{H}_T} = O(1) \qquad (x \to +\infty) \quad \text{if} \quad \tau = \infty. \tag{4.4}$$

In the abstract kinetic theory the operator A is called *a collision operator*. It may have any of a number of properties (see [21, 18]).

Here we consider the case when A *is a positive self-adjoint operator from* $\mathcal{H}_T$ *to* $\mathcal{H}'_T$. That is,

(A1) $\operatorname{dom}(A) \subset \mathcal{H}_T$, and $Ah \in \mathcal{H}'_T$ for all $h \in \operatorname{dom}(A)$,

(A2) $\langle Ah, h\rangle > 0$ for all $h \in \operatorname{dom}(A) \setminus \{0\}$,

(A3) $A = A^*$, i.e., $\operatorname{dom}(A)$ coincides with the set of all $g \in \mathcal{H}_T$ such that the mapping $h \mapsto \langle Ah, g\rangle$ is a continuous linear functional w.r.t. the $\mathcal{H}_T$-norm.

We seek $\mathcal{H}_T$-*strong solutions* (week solutions in terms of [18, Section 2]) of problem (4.2)-(4.4).That is, it is supposed that d/dx is the strong derivative in $\mathcal{H}_T$ and that

(i) $\psi(\cdot) \in C([0,\tau]; \mathcal{H}_T)$ (or $\psi(\cdot) \in C([0,+\infty); \mathcal{H}_T)$ if $\tau = \infty$),

(ii) $\psi(\cdot) \in C^1((0,\tau); \mathcal{H}_T)$ and $\psi(x) \in \operatorname{dom}(A)$ for $x \in (0,\tau)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let B be the operator in $\mathcal{H}_T$ defined by $B := T^{-1}A$, $\operatorname{dom}(B) = \operatorname{dom}(A)$. Assume that the function f is Hölder continuous on all finite intervals $[0, x_1]$, $x_1 > 0$, w.r.t. the $\mathcal{H}'_T$-norm (cf. (3.4)), and that*

$$B \quad \textit{is similar to a self-adjoint operator in} \quad \mathcal{H}_T. \tag{4.5}$$

Then problem (4.2)-(4.3)-(4.4) has a unique $\mathcal{H}_T$-strong solution for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_T$.

Proof. Put $P_\pm := Q_\pm \upharpoonright \mathcal{H}_T$. Then P_+ and P_- are mutually complementary orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{H}_T$ and $J = P_+ - P_-$ is a signature operator.

Note that $L := JB$ is a positive self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}_T$ (that is, B is J-positive and J-self-adjoint). Indeed, since $L = (Q_+ - Q_-)T^{-1}A$ and $(Q_+ - Q_-)T^{\pm 1} = |T|^{\pm 1}$, we get

$$\langle Lh, g\rangle_T = \langle |T|(Q_+ - Q_-)T^{-1}Ah, g\rangle = \langle Ah, g\rangle, \qquad h \in \operatorname{dom}(A),\ g \in \mathcal{H}_T. \tag{4.6}$$

Hence (A1)–(A3) implies that $L = L^* > 0$.

So problem (4.2)-(4.4) is reduced to correspondent problems for equation (3.3). Thus Theorem 4.1 follows from Propositions 3.1 and 3.2. ☐

The form of the solutions is described by Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and formula (2.18).

Remark 4.2. *Actually, assumption (A3) is equivalent to the self-adjointness of the operator* $L = (Q_+ - Q_-)B$ *in* $\mathcal{H}_T$*. Note also that (A3) follows from (A1),(A2) and* (4.5).

Indeed, (4.5) implies that L is closed and $\operatorname{dom}(L)(= \operatorname{dom}(B))$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_T$. Assumption (A2) implies that $L > 0$. Assume that $L \neq L^*$. Then L admits a self-adjoint extension $\widetilde{L} \geq 0$, and $\widetilde{L} \supsetneqq L$. Further, the operator $\widetilde{B} := J\widetilde{L}$ is a J-non-negative J-self-adjoint extension of B. Therefore [2, Theorem II.3.25] implies $\sigma_p(\widetilde{B}) \subset \mathbb{R}$. But (4.5) yields that $\operatorname{ran}(B - \lambda I) = \mathcal{H}_T$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. From this and $\widetilde{B} \supsetneqq B$, one gets $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \subset \sigma_p(\widetilde{B})$. This contradiction concludes the proof.

5 Examples

If the spectrum $\sigma(B)$ is real and discrete, then assumption (2.2) is equivalent to the Riesz basis property for eigenfunctions of B. For ordinary and partial differential operators with indefinite weights, the Riesz basis property was studied in great detail (see [22, 49, 5, 8, 14, 50, 47] and references therein). Below we consider several classes of differential equations with $B(= JL)$ such that $\sigma(B) \neq \overline{\sigma_{\text{disc}}(B)}$. The theorems obtained in the previous sections are combined with known similarity results for Sturm-Liouville operators with an indefinite weight. First, we consider in details a nonhomogeneous version of equation (1.7). Other applications will be indicated briefly (for homogeneous equations and the case $\tau < \infty$ only). Using Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, one can extend these treatments on the half-space problems and the nonhomogeneous case.

5.1 The equation $(\operatorname{sgn} x)|\mu|^\alpha \psi_x = \psi_{\mu\mu} + f$

Let us consider the equation

$$(\operatorname{sgn} \mu)|\mu|^\alpha \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}(x,\mu) = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \mu^2}(x,\mu) + f(x,\mu) \qquad (0 < x < \tau \leq \infty,\ \mu \in \mathbb{R}), \tag{5.1}$$

where $\alpha > -1$ is a constant. In the case $\tau < \infty$, the associated boundary conditions take the form

$$\psi(0,\mu) = \varphi(\mu) \quad \text{if} \quad \mu > 0, \qquad \psi(\tau,\mu) = \varphi(\mu) \quad \text{if} \quad \mu < 0. \tag{5.2}$$

If $\tau = \infty$, we should change them to

$$\psi(0,\mu) = \varphi(\mu) \quad \text{if} \quad \mu > 0, \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi(x,\mu)|^2 |\mu|^\alpha d\mu = O(1) \quad \text{as} \quad x \to +\infty. \tag{5.3}$$

To write (5.1) in the form (4.2), one can put $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $(Ty)(\mu) = (\operatorname{sgn}\mu)|\mu|^\alpha y(\mu)$ and $A : y \mapsto -y''$. Then,

$$\mathcal{H}_T = L^2(\mathbb{R}, |\mu|^\alpha d\mu), \qquad \mathcal{H}'_T = L^2(\mathbb{R}, |\mu|^{-\alpha} d\mu).$$

It is assumed that A is an operator from $\mathcal{H}_T$ to $\mathcal{H}'_T$ and that it is defined on the natural domain

$$\operatorname{dom}(A) = \{y \in \mathcal{H}_T : y, y' \in AC_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad y'' \in \mathcal{H}'_T\}.$$

One can find the operators $Q_\pm$ (see Section 4) and check that $J := (Q_+ - Q_-) \upharpoonright \mathcal{H}_T$ coincides with J defined by (1.6). Consider the operators $B := T^{-1}A$ and $L := JB$. Both operators are defined on $\operatorname{dom}(A)$ by the following differential expressions

$$B : y(\mu) \mapsto -\frac{(\operatorname{sgn}\mu)}{|\mu|^\alpha} y''(\mu), \qquad L : y(\mu) \mapsto -\frac{1}{|\mu|^\alpha} y''(\mu).$$

Clearly, $By, Ly \in \mathcal{H}_T$ for all $y \in \operatorname{dom}(A)$. So B and L are operators in $\mathcal{H}_T$. It is easy to check (see e.g. [15]) that

$$L \quad \text{is a positive self-adjoint operator in} \quad \mathcal{H}_T. \tag{5.4}$$

It follows from (5.4), Remark 4.2 and (4.6) that conditions (A1)-(A3) from Section 4 are fulfilled for the operator A. It was proved in [15] (see also [10, 23, 34]) that the operator B is similar to a self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |\mu|^\alpha d\mu)$. By Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following result.

Assume that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x,\mu)|^2 |\mu|^{-\alpha} d\mu < \infty$, $x \in (0,\tau)$, *and that* $f(x)$ *is Hölder continuous on all finite intervals* $[0, x_1]$ (*see* (3.4)) *as a function with values in* $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |\mu|^{-\alpha} d\mu)$; *then there is a unique solution of problem* (5.1), (5.2) (*problem* (5.1), (5.3), *in the case* $\tau = +\infty$) *for every* $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, |\mu|^\alpha d\mu)$.

Remark 5.1. *In the case* $\tau < \infty$ *and* $\alpha = 0$, *problem* (5.1)-(5.2) *was considered in [53] under additional assumptions that* φ *belongs to a certain Hölder class. The half-space problem* ($\tau = \infty$) *was studied in [43, 17] (see also remarks in [45, Appendix II]). More precisely, in [43], the homogeneous equation was considered for all* $\alpha > -1$ *under the assumption* $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (|\varphi|^2 |\mu|^\alpha + |\varphi'|^2) d\mu < \infty$. *In [17], the nonhomogeneous case was considered for* $\alpha = 1$ *and* $\varphi(\cdot)$, $f(\cdot)$ *from certain classes of continuous functions. Explicit integral representations for solutions were obtained in [43, 17, 53]. Note also that Eq.* (5.1) *was studied in [3] for* μ *in a finite interval* $[-a, a]$, *however, the latter makes the spectrum of* B *discrete.*

5.2 The case when L is uniformly positive

Consider equation (1.4) under the assumption $L = L^* > \delta > 0$, i.e., the operator L is uniformly positive in the Hilbert space H. As before, put $B = JL$, where J is a signature operator in H. In this case, B is similar to a self-adjoint operator iff ∞ is not a singular critical point of B (see Proposition 2.2). For ordinary differential operators with indefinite weights, the regularity of the critical point ∞ is well studied even in the case of a finite number of turning points (i.e., the points where the weight w changes sign). We will use one result that follows from [8].

Let the functions w, p, q be such that

$$w, q \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}), \qquad p(\mu) > 0 \quad \text{a.e. on} \quad \mathbb{R}, \qquad \text{and} \quad p^{-1}, p \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}). \tag{5.5}$$

Assume that the maximal operator

$$L : y \mapsto \frac{1}{|w|}(-(py')' + qy) \quad \text{is self-adjoint in the Hilbert space} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}, |w(\mu)|d\mu),$$

i.e., it is in the limit point case both at $+\infty$ and $-\infty$. Assume also that the sets

$$\mathcal{I}_+ := \{\mu \in \mathbb{R} : w(\mu) > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{I}_- := \{\mu \in \mathbb{R} : w(\mu) < 0\}$$

are both of positive Lebesgue measure.

The elements of the set $\overline{\mathcal{I}_+} \cap \overline{\mathcal{I}_-}$ are called *turning points of* w.

Put $(Jf)(\mu) := (\operatorname{sgn} w(\mu))f(\mu)$ for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, |w(\mu)|d\mu)$, and $B = JL$. Then

$$B : y \mapsto \frac{1}{w}(-(py')' + qy)$$

is a J-self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |w(\mu)|d\mu)$.

The following definition is an improved version of Beals' condition [5].

Definition 5.2 ([8]). *A function w is said to be* simple from the right at μ_0 *if there exist $\delta > 0$ such that w is nonnegative (nonpositive) on $[\mu_0, \mu_0 + \delta]$ and*

$$w(\mu) = (\mu - \mu_0)^\beta \rho(\mu) \qquad (w(\mu) = -(\mu - \mu_0)^\beta \rho(\mu), \ \textit{respectively}) \tag{5.6}$$

holds a.e. on $[\mu_0, \mu_0 + \delta]$ with some $\beta > -1$, $\rho \in C^1[\mu_0, \mu_0 + \delta]$, $\rho(\mu_0) > 0$. A function w is said to be simple from the left at μ_0 *if the function $\mu \mapsto w(-(\mu - \mu_0) + \mu_0)$ is simple from the right at μ_0. A function w is said to be* simple at μ_0 *if it is simple from the right and simple from the left at μ (with, possibly, different numbers β).*

It follows from the results of [8] that ∞ is a regular critical point of B if the following assumptions are fulfilled:

(i) the function w has a finite number of turning points at which it is simple,

(ii) $L = L^* \geq 0$ and $\rho(B) \neq \emptyset$.

For the proof, we note that the set $\mathcal{D}[JB] = \mathcal{D}[L]$ is separated (in terms of [8, Subsection 3.2]) since L is in the limit point case at $+\infty$ and $-\infty$. So the case (i) of [8, Theorem 3.6] holds for B.

If, additionally, $L > \delta > 0$ then $0 \in \rho(L)$ and, consequently, $0 \in \rho(B)$ since J is a unitary operator. Besides, 0 is not a critical point of B. Hence one can obtain the following statement from Proposition 2.2 and [8, Theorem 3.6].

Proposition 5.3. *Assume that the function w has a finite number of turning points at which it is simple, and that $L = L^* > \delta > 0$. Then the operator B is similar to a self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |w(\mu)|d\mu)$.*

Thus, if the conditions of Proposition 5.3 are satisfied, Theorem 1.1 implies that there is a unique strong solution of the corresponding boundary problem (1.4)-(1.5) (cf. [16, Section 4]).

Note that the condition $L > \delta > 0$ is fulfilled whenever

$$\frac{q(\mu)}{|w(\mu)|} > C > 0, \quad \text{where } C \text{ is a constant (cf. [45, Appendix I]).} \tag{5.7}$$

Example 5.4 (cf. [46]). *Consider the equation*

$$(\operatorname{sgn}\mu)|\mu|^\alpha \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\mu^2} - k\psi \qquad (0 < x < \tau < \infty,\ \mu \in \mathbb{R}), \tag{5.8}$$

where $k > 0$ and $\alpha \in (-1, 0]$ are constants. Evidently, the weight function $w(\mu) = (\operatorname{sgn}\mu)|\mu|^\alpha$ is simple in its only turning point 0. *Besides, condition* (5.7) *is satisfied since $\alpha \in (-1, 0]$. So problem* (5.8), (5.2) *has a unique solution for every $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, |\mu|^\alpha d\mu)$.*

Remark 5.5. *The half-space problem for the equation* (5.8) *with $\alpha = 1$ and $k > 0$ was studied in [44]. Note that if $\alpha > 0$, the operator L associated with Eq.* (5.8) *is not uniformly positive. To extend the method of the present paper to the case $\alpha > 0$, one should prove that* 0 *is a regular critical point of the operator $B : y \mapsto (\operatorname{sgn}\mu)|\mu|^{-\alpha}(-y'' + ky)$ (cf. Subsection 5.3).*

Improvements of condition (5.6) may be found in [8] (the end of Subsection 3.1) and [14]. Note also that [8, Theorem 3.6] is valid for higher order ordinary differential operators.

5.3 The Fokker-Plank equation

In the case when $inf\, \sigma_{ess}(L) = 0$, the similarity problem for the operator $B : y \mapsto \frac{1}{w}(-(py')' + qy)$ is more difficult. This question was considered in [10, 15, 23, 12, 29, 34, 30, 35, 27] (see also references therein). A general method was developed in [29, 30], where the operator B with $w(\mu) = \operatorname{sgn}\mu$ and $p(\mu) \equiv 1$ was studied. However, all results contained in [30, Sections 3-6] are valid without changes in proofs for the general Sturm-Liouville operator B with one turning point. The approach of [30] was applied to the case $q \equiv 0$ in [35, 36, 28], where the following theorem was proved.

Theorem 5.6 ([35, 36, 28]). *Assume that $w \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mu w(\mu) > 0$ for a.a. $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and the function w is simple at its only turning point* 0. *Assume also that w has the form $w(\mu) = \pm r(\mu)|\mu|^{\alpha_\pm}$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_\pm$, where the function r satisfies the following conditions*

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \mu^{\alpha_+/2}|r(\mu) - c_+|d\mu < \infty, \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{-1} |\mu|^{\alpha_-/2}|r(\mu) - c_-|d\mu < \infty, \tag{5.9}$$

and $\alpha_\pm > -1$, $c_\pm > 0$ are constants. Then the operator $B : y \mapsto -\frac{1}{w}y''$ defined on its maximal domain in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |w(\mu)|d\mu)$ is similar to a self-adjoint operator.

Evidently, the operator $L : y \mapsto -\frac{1}{|w|}y''$ is nonnegative in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |w(\mu)|d\mu)$. Moreover, if condition (5.9) is fulfilled, L is positive. Indeed, any solution of the equation $Ly_0 = 0$ has the form $y_0(\mu) = c_1 + c_2\mu$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, where c_1 and c_2 are constants. But it follows from (5.9) that $y_0 \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}, |w(\mu)|d\mu)$ for all $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Applying Theorem 1.1, we obtain the existence and uniqueness theorem for the time-independent Fokker-Plank equation of the simplest kind (see e.g. [52] and also references in [6])

$$\mu\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x}(x, \mu) = b(\mu)\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\mu^2}(x, \mu) \qquad (0 < x < \tau < \infty,\ \mu \in \mathbb{R}). \tag{5.10}$$

Namely, *if the assumptions of Theorem 5.6 are satisfied for the function $w(\mu) = b(\mu)\mu^{-1}$, then the boundary value problem* (5.10), (5.2) *has a unique solution for every $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, |w(\mu)|d\mu)$.*

Remark 5.7. *The case when B is an ordinary differential operator of higher order and $inf\ \sigma_{ess}(L) = 0$ was considered in [10, 23, 24]. For partial differential operators, see [9, 13, 11, 20].*

The author express his gratitude to V.A. Derkach, who drew author's attention to the papers [5, 32]; to M.M. Malamud, V.A.Marchenko, and H. Stephan for stimulating discussions about this circle of problems. The author wishes to thank for the hospitality to the University of Zurich, the Johann Radon Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics, and the University of Calgary, where various parts of this paper have been written.

References

[1] Aarão, J. A transport equation of mixed type. J. Differential Equations 150 (1998), no. 1, 188–202.

[2] Azizov, T.Ya., Iokhvidov, I.S. Linear operators in spaces with an indefinite metric. Chichester, New York, John Wiley and Sons 1989.

[3] Baouendi M.S., Grisvard P. Sur une equation d'evolution changeant de type. J. Funct. Anal. **2** (1968), 352–367.

[4] Beals, R. An abstract treatment of some forward-backward problems of transport and scattering. J. Funct. Anal. **34** (1979) 1–20.

[5] Beals, R. Indefinite Sturm-Liouville problems and Half-range completeness, J. Differential Equations **56** (1985), 391–407.

[6] Beals, R., Protopopescu, V. Half-Range completeness for the Fokker-Plank equation. J. Stat. Phys. **32** (1983) 565–584.

[7] Ćurgus, B. Boundary value problems in Kreĭn spaces. Glas. Mat. Ser. III 35(55) (2000), no. 1, 45–58.

[8] Ćurgus, B., Langer, H. A Krein space approach to symmetric ordinary differential operators with an indefinite weight function. J. Differential Equations **79** (1989) 31–61.

[9] Ćurgus, B., Najman, B. A Krein space approach to elliptic eigenvalue problems with indefinite weights. Differential Integral Equations 7 (1994), no. 5–6, 1241–1252.

[10] Ćurgus, B., Najman, B. Positive differential operators in Krein space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Recent development in operator theory and its applications (Winnipeg, MB, 1994), Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. Vol.87, Basel, Birkhäuser 1996, 95–104.

[11] B. Ćurgus, B. Najman, *Positive differential operators in the Krein space* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., Birkhäuser, Basel **106** (1998) 113–130.

[12] Faddeev, M.M., Shterenberg, R.G. On the similarity of some differential operators to selfadjoint operators. (Russian) Mat. Zametki 72 (2002), no. 2, 292–302; translation in Math. Notes 72 (2002), no. 1-2, 261–270

[13] Faierman, M., Langer, H. Elliptic problems involving an indefinite weight function. Recent developments in operator theory and its applications (Winnipeg, MB, 1994), 105–124, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 87, Birkhuser, Basel, 1996.

[14] Fleige, A. Spectral theory of indefinite Krein-Feller differential operators. Mathematical Research 98, Berlin, Akademie Verlag 1996.

[15] Fleige, A., Najman, B. Nonsingularity of critical points of some differential and difference operators. Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. Vol.106, Basel, Birkhäuser 1998, 147–155.

[16] Ganchev, A., Greenberg, W., van der Mee, C.V.M., A class of linear kinetic equations in a Krein space setting. Integral Equations Operator Theory 11 (1988), no. 4, 518–535.

[17] Gor'kov, Ju.P. A formula for the solution of a boundary value problem for the stationary equation of Brownian motion. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **223** (1975) [Russian].

[18] Greenberg, W., van der Mee, C.V.M., Protopopescu, V. Boundary value problems in abstract kinetic theory. Operator theory, Vol.23, Basel, Birkhäuser, 1987.

[19] Hangelbroek, R.J. Linear analysis and solution of neutron transport problem. Transport. Theory Statist. Phys. **5** (1976) 1–85.

[20] Hassi, S., Karabash, I. M. On the similarity between a J-selfadjoint Sturm-Liouville operator with operator potential and a selfadjoint operator. Mat. Zametki 78 (2005), no. 4, 625–628 (Russian); translation in Math. Notes 78 (2005), no. 3-4, 581–585.

[21] Kaper, H.G., Lekkerkerker, C.G., Hejtmanek, J. Spectral methods in linear transport theory. *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., Birkhäuser, Basel* **5**, 1982.

[22] Kaper, H.G., Lekkerkerker, C.G., Kwong, M.K., Zettl A. Full- and partial- range expansions for Sturm-Liouville problems with indefinite weights. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **98** (1984) 69–88.

[23] I. M. Karabash, J-selfadjoint ordinary differential operators similar to selfadjoint operators. Methods of Functional Analysis and Topology. **6**, no. 2, (2000), 22–49.

[24] I.M. Karabash, On J-selfadjoint differential operators similar to selfadjoint operators, Math. Notes **68** (2000) no. 6, 798–799.

[25] Karabash I. M. Stationary transport equations; the case when the spectrum of collision operators has a negative part. Spectral and evolution problems, **16**, Proc. of the XVI Crimean Autumn Math. School–Symposium, Simferopol, (2006), 149–153.

[26] Karabash I. M. Existence and uniqueness of solutions of stationary transport equations. Proc. Appl. Math. Mech. 6 (2006), 635–636.

[27] I.M. Karabash, A.S. Kostenko, Indefinite Sturm-Liouville operators with the singular critical point zero, arXiv:math.SP/0612173, http://arxiv.org/abs/math.SP/0612173 (Submitted to Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A)

[28] I.M. Karabash, A.S. Kostenko, Malamud, M.M. The similarity problem for J-nonnegative Sturm-Liouville operators, to appear.

[29] Karabash, I.M., Malamud, M.M. The similarity of a J-self-adjoint Sturm-Liouville operator with finite-gap potential to a self-adjoint operator. Doklady Mathematics **69** (2004), no.2, 195–199.

[30] I.M. Karabash, M.M. Malamud, Indefinite Sturm-Liouville operators $(\operatorname{sgn} x)(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+q)$ with finite-zone potentials, Operators and Matrices 1 (2007), no. 3, 301–368.

[31] Kato, T., Perturbation theory for linear operators, Springer-Verlag, 1966.

[32] M. Klaus, C.V.M. van der Mee, V. Protopopescu, Half-range solutions of indefinite Sturm-Liouville problems. J. Funct. Anal. 70 (1987), no. 2, 254–288.

[33] Kohn, J. J., Nirenberg, L. Degenerate elliptic-parabolic equations of second order. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **20** (1967), 797–872.

[34] Kostenko, A.S. A spectral analysis of some indefinite differential operators. Methods Funct. Anal. Topology **12** (2006), no. 2, 157–169.

[35] Kostenko, A.S. The similarity of some J-nonnegative operators to a selfadjoint operator. Mat. Zametki **80** (2006), no. 1, 135–138 (Russian); translation in Math. Notes 80 (2006) no. 1, 131–135.

[36] Kostenko, A.S. Spectral analysis of singularly perturbed differential operators of the second order. Candidate thesis, The Institute of Applied Mathemtics and Mechanics of NASU, Donetsk, 2006 (Russian).

[37] Krein, S.G., Linear differential equations in Banach space, AMS, 1971.

[38] Langer, H. Spectral functions of definitizable operators in Krein space. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **948** (1982) 1–46.

[39] Lar'kin, I.A., Novikov, V.A., Yanenko, N.N. Nonlinear equations of mixed type. Novosibirsk, Nauka 1983 (Russian).

[40] van der Mee, C.V.M. Semigroup and Factorization methods in transport theory. Math. Centre Tract. No.146, Amsterdam 1981.

[41] van der Mee, C.V.M., Ran, A.C.M., Rodman, L. Stability of stationary transport equation with accretive collision operators. J. Funct. Anal. **174** (2000), 478–512.

[42] Oleĭnik, O. A.; Radkevič, E. V. Second order equations with nonnegative characteristic form. Plenum Press, New York-London, 1973.

[43] Pagani, C.D. On the parabolic equation $(\operatorname{sgn} x)|x|^p u_y - u_{xx} = 0$ and a related one. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **99** (1974), no.4, 333–399.

[44] Pagani, C.D. On an initial-boundary value problem for the equation $w_t = w_{xx} - xw_y$, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, **2** (1975), 219–263.

[45] Pagani, C.D. On forward-backward parabolic equations in bounded domains, Bollettino U.M.I. (5) **13-B** (1976), 336–354.

[46] Pagani, C.D., Talenti G. On a forward-backward parabolic equation. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **90** (1971), no.4, 1–57.

[47] A. I. Parfyonov, On an embedding criterion for interpolation spaces and its applications to indefinite spectral problems. Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **44** (2003), no.4, 810-819; translation in Siberian Math. J. 44 (2003), no. 4, 638–644.

[48] Paronetto, F., Existence results for a class of evolution equations of mixed type. J. Funct. Anal. **212** (2004), no. 2, 324–356.

[49] Pyatkov, S.G., Properties of eigenfunctions of a spectral problem and their applications. Well-posed boundary value problems for nonclassical equations of mathematical physics, 115–130, Akad. Nauk SSSR Sibirsk. Otdel., Inst. Mat., Novosibirsk, 1984 (Russian).

[50] Pyatkov, S.G. Operator Theory. Nonclassical Problems. Utrecht , Boston , Koln , Tokyo , VSP 2002.

[51] Pyatkov, S.G., Abasheeva, N.L. Solvability of boundary value problems for operator-differential equations: the degenerate case. Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 43 (2002), no. 3, 678–693 (Russian); translation in Siberian Math. J. 43 (2002), no. 3, 549–561.

[52] Stephan, H. Nichtgleichewichtsprozesse: Direkte und inverse Problemme. *Aachen: Shaker* 1996 (German).

[53] Tersenov, S.A. Parabolic equations changing theirs time direction. Novosibirsk, Nauka 1985 (Russian).

I. Karabash,
Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW Calgary T2N 1N4, Alberta, Canada
and
Department of PDE, Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, R. Luxemburg str. 74, Donetsk 83114, Ukraine
e-mail: karabashi@yahoo.com, karabashi@mail.ru, karabash@math.ucalgary.ca